From:
 Moore, Gary

 To:
 Hope, Ginny

 Cc:
 Gee, Jo

Subject: Re: 0702-062 CES Environmental ERRS October MPR. Please review and respond by Tuesday, November 25.

Thank you!

Date: Friday, November 21, 2014 8:56:47 AM

Gary Moore

Federal On-Scene Coordinator U.S. EPA Region 6 214-789-1627 cell 214-665-6609 office moore.gary@epa.gov

From: Hope, Ginny

Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2014 2:04 PM

To: Moore, Gary **Cc:** Gee. Jo

Subject: 0702-062 CES Environmental ERRS October MPR. Please review and respond by Tuesday,

November 25. Thank you!

Instructions: The OSC or Task Monitor shall review the monthly report attached to this e-mail. Please complete the form and respond back to **all with history** – within 5 business days or the date in the Subject line: The e-mail traffic will provide date and task monitor name for documentation purposes.

ERRS MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT REVIEW Contract EP-S6-07-02

CB&I

Site Name: CES Environmental, Inc.

Task Order: 0702-062 Reporting Period: October 2014

I have reviewed the contractor's monthly progress report and have determined:

__X_____ Site specific monthly progress report is acceptable as presented. No changes or modifications are required at this time.

_____ Additional supporting data was requested from the contractor for the monthly progress report. The revised monthly progress report was received on _____ (date). Provide comments.

COMMENTS: The procurement hours are rediculously high due to the contractors procurement process. A request has been made for a detailed discussion of the contractors procurement process but that information has not been provided in a timely manner. The process is unusally cumbersome and does not meet the intentions fo the "Emergency and Rapid Response Contract" which is supposed to be flexible and quick. This process has resulted in significant delays and costs to the Government beyond which is acceptable. The CO should negotiate a reduction in the amount being charged.

On another note, the billing process is also cumbersome and requires the contractor to do excessive work in order to bill the government. The EPA should not be charged for the contractors billing process to the EPA. EPA should only pay for the work it directly requires which is to prepare the daily cost summary report. All the other steps the contractor performs to get the costs into their accounting system is part of their billing process which EPA should not see. This is also a significant cost to the Government beyond which is acceptable. The CO should negotiate a reduction in the amount being charged.

Ginny Hope U.S.EPA -6SF-VC 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75202-2733 214:665-6487

Fax: 214:665-6660